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| Symbol | Explanation |
| :--- | :--- |
| M | Method |
| MA | Method with accuracy |
| CA | Consistent accuracy |
| A | Accuracy |
| C | Conversion |
| S | Simplification |
| RD | Reading from a table/graph/diagram/map |
| SF | Correct substitution in a formula |
| O | Opinion/Example Reason / Explanation /Deduction /Comment / Interpretation |
| P | Penalty, e.g. for no units, incorrect rounding off, etc. |
| R | Rounding off/Reasoning |
| NP | No penalty for rounding off/units |

This memorandum consists of 20 pages.

| QUESTION 1 [34 MARKS] |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ques | Solution | Explanation | Level |
| 1.1.1 | Gross monthly salary of one driver $\begin{aligned} & \quad \checkmark \mathrm{A} \quad \checkmark \mathrm{MA} \\ &= \mathrm{R} 734,53 \times 52 \div 12 \\ &= \text { R3 } 182,96 \end{aligned}$ <br> OR <br> Weekly salary of one driver $\begin{aligned} & \checkmark \mathrm{A} \quad \checkmark \mathrm{MA} \\ &= \mathrm{R} 3 \\ & 182,96 \times 12 \div 52 \\ &= \mathrm{R} 734,53 \end{aligned}$ | 1 A using the correct value 1MA dividing by 12 and multiplying by 52 <br> OR <br> 1 A using the correct value 1MA dividing by 52 and multiplying by 12 | L2 |
|  |  | (2) |  |
| 1.1.2 | $\text { Salary of one cleaner }=8 \times \stackrel{\checkmark}{ } \mathrm{M} \text { 20 } \times \mathrm{R} 18,66=\mathrm{R} 2985,60^{\checkmark} \mathrm{CA}$ <br> Salary of one supervisor $=$ R2 985,60 + R230,00 $=$ R3 ${ }^{\checkmark} 215,60$ <br> Salaries: <br> Handymen $=11 \times \mathrm{R} 4410,37=\mathrm{R} 48514,07 \quad \checkmark \mathrm{~A}$ <br> Cleaners $=272 \times \mathrm{R} 2985,60=\mathrm{R} 812083,20 \quad \checkmark \mathrm{CA}$ <br> Supervisors $=12 \times \mathrm{R} 3215,60=\mathrm{R} 38587,20 \quad \checkmark \mathrm{CA}$ <br> Drivers $=11 \times \mathrm{R} 3182,96=\mathrm{R} 35012,56 \quad \checkmark \mathrm{CA}$ <br> Total salaries $\begin{aligned} & =\text { R48 514,07 + R } 812083,20+\mathrm{R} 38587,20+\mathrm{R} 35012,56 \\ & =\mathrm{R} 934197,03 \quad \checkmark \mathrm{CA} \quad \checkmark \mathrm{~A} \\ & \text { Total UIF payable }=2 \% \times \mathrm{R} 934 \begin{array}{l} 197,03 \\ = \end{array} \quad \mathrm{R} 18 \text { 683,94 } \quad \checkmark \mathrm{CA} \end{aligned}$ | 1M multiplying hours, days and rate 1CA salary of 1 cleaner 1CA salary of 1 supervisor <br> 1A salaries Handymen <br> 1CA salaries Cleaners <br> 1CA salaries supervisors <br> 1CA salaries drivers <br> 1CA Total salaries <br> 1A $2 \%$ contribution 1CA total contribution <br> OR | L3 |



| Ques | Solution | Explanation | Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.1.3 | The statement is VALID. $\checkmark \mathrm{O}$ <br> OR $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean salary }=\frac{\text { R934 197,03 }}{306} \checkmark \mathrm{MA} \\ & =\text { R3 052,93 } \end{aligned}$ <br> Mean as a percentage of the lowest salary $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\mathrm{R} 3052,93}{\mathrm{R} 2985,60} \times 100 \%=102,255 \ldots \% \\ & \% \text { difference }=102,255 \ldots \%-100 \% \\ & \approx 2,3 \% \\ & \approx \mathrm{M} \\ & \approx \mathrm{CA} \end{aligned}$ <br> The statement is VALID $\checkmark \mathrm{O}$ $\begin{aligned} & \text { OR } \\ & \text { Mean UIF payable }=\frac{\mathrm{R} 18683,93}{306} \stackrel{\mathrm{MA}}{=} \quad \begin{array}{r} \checkmark \mathrm{CA} \\ 61,05859 \ldots \\ \text { Cleaners UIF } \end{array} \times 100 \% \\ & \% \text { difference }=\frac{\text { Mean UIF }- \text { Cleaners UIF }}{} \end{aligned}$ $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{61,05859 \ldots-59,711985 \ldots}{59,711985 \ldots} \times 100 \% \\ & =2,255 \ldots \% \\ & \approx 2,3 \% \end{aligned}$ <br> The statement is VALID. | 1MA dividing total salary from Q1.1.2 by number of employees 1CA simplification <br> 1M difference 1CA percentage calculation <br> 1CA percentage <br> 10 conclusion <br> OR <br> 1MA dividing total salary from Q1.1.2 by number of employees 1CA simplification <br> 1M percentage <br> 1 M subtracting $100 \%$ <br> 1CA percentage <br> 10 conclusion <br> OR <br> 1MA dividing total UIF from Q1.1.2 by number of employees 1CA simplification <br> 1M subtracting 1M percentage <br> 1CA simplification <br> 10 conclusion <br> OR | L4 |



| 1.2.1 | Number of additional employees is $11+12+272+11=\begin{aligned} & \checkmark \mathrm{A} \\ & 306\end{aligned}$ $\begin{aligned} \text { Number of female cleaners } & =\frac{3}{4} \times 272 \\ & =204 \quad \checkmark \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ <br> Probability of selecting a female cleaner $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{204}{306} \quad \checkmark \mathrm{CA} \\ & =0,66666 . . \\ & \approx 0,667 \quad \checkmark \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ | 1A addition <br> 1A proportion <br> 1CA probability <br> 1 R rounding correctly <br> Answer only full marks | L2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.2.2 | Most unlikely, because the male supervisors are the smallest number of additional employees. <br> $\checkmark \checkmark$ O <br> OR <br> The fraction for the male supervisors is $\begin{equation*} \text { smaller }\left(\frac{3}{306}=0,0098039\right) \checkmark \checkmark \mathrm{O} \tag{2} \end{equation*}$ | 2 O explanation | L2 |
| 1.3.1 |  | 1RT reading from table 1 M finding \% <br> 1 A value of A <br> 1 M dividing <br> 1 A value of B <br> OR <br> 1 M dividing <br> 1A value of $B$ <br> Accept R5 000 <br> NP - rounding <br> Answer only full marks | L2 |



| QUESTION 2 [30 MARKS] |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ques | Solution | Explanation | Level |
| 2.1.1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P}_{(\text {weight loss more than } 20 \mathrm{~kg})}=\frac{\checkmark \mathrm{A}}{12} \times 100 \% \\ & \approx 66,67 \% \end{aligned}$ | 1A numerator <br> 1A denominator <br> 1CA probability as \%$\|$NP - rounding <br> Answer only full <br> marks | L2 |
|  |  | (3) |  |
| 2.1.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 102 \text { pounds }=102 \times 0,453592 \approx 46,27 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & 55 \text { pounds }=55 \times 0,453592 \approx 24,95 \mathrm{~kg} \checkmark \checkmark \mathrm{C} \\ & 36 \text { pounds }=36 \times 0,453592 \approx 16,33 \mathrm{~kg} \end{aligned}$ <br> Arranged weight loss for males: $\begin{aligned} & 13,2 ; 13,2 ; 16,33 ; 16,7 ; 18,8 ; 23,7 ; \\ & \mathbf{2 4 , 9 5 ; 2 5 , 6 ; 3 1 , 6 ; 3 7 , 6 5 ; 4 3 , 3 6 ; 4 6 , 2 7 .} \begin{array}{r} \checkmark \mathrm{CA} \\ \text { Median weight loss of males } \end{array}=\frac{23,70+24,95}{2} \checkmark \mathrm{M} \\ & =24,325 \\ & \approx 24,33 \mathrm{~kg} \quad \checkmark \mathrm{CA} \end{aligned}$ <br> Her statement is NOT correct. $\checkmark \mathrm{O}$ | 1 C converting one 1C converting other two <br> 1 CA arranging weights <br> 1CA identifying middle values 1 M median concept <br> 1CA simplification <br> 10 conclusion <br> Max 4 marks if using SA males only <br> Max 3 marks if conversions are omitted | L4 |
|  |  | (7) |  |
| 2.1.3 | IQR for males $($ in kg$)=34,63-16,52=18,11 \checkmark \mathrm{~A}$ IQR for females $($ in kg$)=64,87-27,97=36,9 \checkmark \mathrm{~A}$ <br> The female IQR is more than the male IQR. $\checkmark \checkmark$ R | 1M IQR concept 1A males IQR 1 A females IQR <br> 2Rcomment relating to the IQR values | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { L2 } \\ & \text { L4 } \end{aligned}$ |



| Ques | Solution | Explanation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.2.2 |  | 1A calculating calories <br> 1M ratio 1 M addition 1CA calculating calories <br> 1CA difference <br> NP - rounding | L3 |
| 2.2.3 | Sugar intake before diet: $\begin{aligned} & =7,75 \times 2+7,25+10,5 \quad \checkmark \mathrm{MA} \\ & =33,25 \text { tsp. OR } \quad 133 \text { grams } \quad \checkmark \mathrm{CA} \end{aligned}$ <br> Sugar intake after diet: $\begin{aligned} & =2 \times\left(\frac{500 \times 3,25}{240}\right)+2+0 \\ & \checkmark \checkmark \mathrm{~A} \\ & =2 \times 6,77+2+0,00 \\ & =15,54 \text { tsp. OR } 62,16 \text { grams } \quad \checkmark \mathrm{CA} \\ & \% \text { Reduction of sugar } \\ & \begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l} \hline \text { (using teaspoons) } & \text { (usings grams) } \\ \hline=\frac{15,54}{33,25} \times 100 \% & \text { OR } & =\frac{62,16}{133} \times 100 \% \\ \approx 46,74 \% \quad \checkmark \mathrm{MA} & \approx 46,74 \% \\ \mathrm{MA} \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ <br> NOT VALID $\checkmark$ O <br> OR <br> Using Calories from Q 2.2.2 $\begin{gathered} \checkmark \mathrm{M} \checkmark \mathrm{CA} \quad \checkmark \mathrm{M} \\ \% \text { Calories }=\frac{248,67}{532 \checkmark \mathrm{~A}} \times 100 \%=46,7 \% \quad \checkmark \mathrm{CA} \end{gathered}$ <br> NOT VALID $\checkmark$ O | 1MA adding correct values 1CA simplification <br> 1A sugar in vitamin water <br> 1CA simplification <br> 1MA percentage <br> 10 opinion Accept VALID as opinion only if an explanation provided <br> OR <br> 1CA total calories after 1M percentage 1 M multiply by 100 1 CA simplification 1A total calories before 10 opinion | L4 |
|  |  | [30] |  |


| QUESTION 3 [31 MARKS] |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ques | Solution | Explanation |  |
| 3.1 | $\checkmark \sqrt{ } \mathrm{O}$ <br> For easy access OR to save on costs OR no privacy required OR aesthetic value OR ease of movement between rooms OR ventilation purposes | 2 O explanation (2) | L4 |
| 3.2 | Living room, bathroom and bedroom 2 . $\checkmark \checkmark \mathrm{O}$ <br> No direct sunlight into the room. <br> OR <br> The sun's position is on the northern side of the house. $\checkmark \checkmark \mathrm{O}$ | 1A identified at least two rooms 2 O reason | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { L2 } \\ & \text { L4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 3.3.1 | The living room floor side OR $\checkmark \mathrm{MA}$ <br> $\quad \checkmark \mathrm{MA} \checkmark \mathrm{C} \quad \checkmark \mathrm{M}$  $100 \%-7,04 \%=92,96 \%$ <br> $=3,550 \mathrm{~m}-(3,550 \mathrm{~m} \times 7,04 \%)$  Side $\checkmark \mathrm{C} \quad \checkmark \mathrm{M}$ <br> $=3,3008 \mathrm{~m}$ $=3,550 \mathrm{~m} \times 92,96 \%$  <br> $\approx 3,3 \mathrm{~m}$ $=3,3008 \mathrm{~m}$  <br> $\therefore 3,3 \mathrm{~m} \times 3,3 \mathrm{~m}$ $\therefore 3,3 \mathrm{~m} \times 3,3 \mathrm{~m}$  | 1C conversion 1MA for subtracting 1M multiplication | L2 |
| 3.3.2 | Area of 4 walls $\begin{aligned} & \quad \begin{array}{l} \checkmark \mathrm{SF} \\ =4 \times(3,3 \mathrm{~m} \times 2,650 \mathrm{~m}) \\ =34,98 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\ \checkmark \mathrm{CA} \end{array} \end{aligned}$ <br> Area of 2 door openings $\begin{aligned} & =2 \times \text { length } \times \text { width } \\ & =2 \times 2,032 \mathrm{~m} \times 0,750 \mathrm{~m}^{\vee \mathrm{M}} \\ & =3,048 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \checkmark \mathrm{CA} \end{aligned}$ <br> Area of opening to passage $=\text { length } \times \text { width }$ $=2,082 \mathrm{~m} \times 0,75 \mathrm{~m} \checkmark \mathrm{M}$ $=1,5615 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \quad \checkmark \mathrm{CA}$ <br> Area of window $\begin{aligned} & =1,511 \mathrm{~m} \times 0,949 \mathrm{~m} \checkmark \mathrm{M} \\ & =1,434 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \checkmark \mathrm{CA} \end{aligned}$ <br> Area to cover with panelling $\begin{aligned} & =(34,98-3,048-1,5615-1,434) \mathrm{m}^{2} \checkmark \mathrm{M} \\ & =28,9365 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \checkmark \mathrm{CA} \\ & \approx 29 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \checkmark \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ | 1 SF area wall dimensions 1CA area of 4 walls <br> 2 M door opening dimensions 1CA area of opening to passage 1CA 2 door openings <br> 1M window dimensions 1CA area of window <br> 1M subtracting 1CA area 1 R rounding | L3 |
|  | OR | OR |  |


| Ques | Solution | Explanation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Area of northern wall $\begin{aligned} & =\text { Area of wall }- \text { area of door } \quad \checkmark \mathrm{M} \\ & =(3,3 \mathrm{~m} \times 2,650 \mathrm{~m})-(2,082 \mathrm{~m} \times 0,750 \mathrm{~m}) \\ & =8,745 \mathrm{~m}^{2}-1,5615 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\ & =7,1835 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \quad \checkmark \mathrm{CA} \end{aligned}$ <br> Area of eastern wall $\begin{aligned} & =\text { Area of wall - area of door } \quad \checkmark \mathrm{M} \\ & =(3,3 \mathrm{~m} \times 2,650 \mathrm{~m})-(2,032 \mathrm{~m} \times 0,750 \mathrm{~m}) \\ & =8,745 \mathrm{~m}^{2}-1,524 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\ & =7,221 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \quad \checkmark \mathrm{CA} \end{aligned}$ <br> Area of southern wall <br> $=$ Area of wall - area of door - area of window $\quad \checkmark \mathrm{M} \quad \checkmark \mathrm{A}$ $=(3,3 \mathrm{~m} \times 2,650 \mathrm{~m})-(2,032 \mathrm{~m} \times 0,750 \mathrm{~m})-(1,511 \mathrm{~m} \times 0,949 \mathrm{~m})$ $=8,745 \mathrm{~m}^{2}-1,524 \mathrm{~m}^{2}-1,434 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ $=5,787 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \quad \checkmark \mathrm{CA}$ <br> Area of western wall $\begin{aligned} & =(3,3 \mathrm{~m} \times 2,650 \mathrm{~m}) \\ & =8,745 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \quad \checkmark \mathrm{CA} \end{aligned}$ $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area to cover } \quad \checkmark \mathrm{M} \\ & =7,1835 \mathrm{~m}^{2}+7,221 \mathrm{~m}^{2}+5,787 \mathrm{~m}^{2}+8,745 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\ & =28,9365 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \checkmark \mathrm{CA} \\ & \approx 29 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \checkmark \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ <br> OR <br> Area of wall including door and window openings <br> $=$ perimeter of floor $\times$ height <br> $=2 \times($ width + width $) \times$ height $=2 \times(3,3 \mathrm{~m}+3,3 \mathrm{~m}) \times 2,650 \mathrm{~m} \quad \checkmark \mathrm{M}$ $=34,98 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \quad \checkmark \mathrm{CA}$ <br> Area of window 1 opening <br> $=$ length $\times$ breadth $\checkmark \mathrm{M}$ $=1,511 \mathrm{~m} \times 0,949 \mathrm{~m}$ $=1,433939 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \quad \checkmark \mathrm{CA}$ $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area of 2 door openings } \quad \begin{array}{l} \text { Area of opening to passage } \\ =2 \times \text { length } \times \text { width } \end{array}=\text { length } \times \text { width } \\ & =2 \times 2,032 \mathrm{~m} \times 0,750 \mathrm{~m} \checkmark \mathrm{M} \\ & =3,048 \mathrm{~m}^{2}=\sqrt{1}, 5615 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \end{aligned} \quad=2,082 \mathrm{~m} \times 0,75 \mathrm{~m} \checkmark \mathrm{M}$ $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area to cover } \\ & =34,98 \mathrm{~m}^{2}-1,433939 \mathrm{~m}^{2}-3,048 \mathrm{~m}^{2}-1,5615 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\ & =28,936561 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \quad \checkmark \mathrm{CA} \\ & \approx 29 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \quad \checkmark \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ | 1 M subtracting areas <br> 1CA for calculating area of northern wall <br> 1 M subtracting areas <br> 1CA for calculating area of eastern wall <br> 1 M subtracting areas 1A subtracting 1CA for calculating area of southern wall <br> 1CA for calculating area of western wall <br> 1 M for adding 4 walls <br> 1CA simplification 1 R rounding <br> OR <br> 1M multiplying 1CA calculating total area of walls <br> 1M area formula 1CA calculating area of window <br> 2M area formula 2CA calculating area of door openings <br> 1 M for subtracting 1CA simplification 1 R for rounding |


| Ques | Solution | Explanation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.4 | Surface area of one panel $=2 \mathrm{~m} \times 0,15 \mathrm{~m}$ $=0,3 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 1A area | L4 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number of panels needed }=\frac{29 \mathrm{~m}^{2}}{0,3 \mathrm{~m}^{2}} \\ & =96,666 \ldots \approx 97 \end{aligned}$ | 1CA from Q3.3.2 simplification |  |
|  | Total panels needed to be purchased $\begin{aligned} & =97 \times 104,5 \% \\ & =101,365 \quad \checkmark \mathrm{CA} \\ & \approx 102 \end{aligned}$ <br> OR $\begin{gathered} 97 \times 4,5 \%=4,365 \\ \approx 5 \\ 97+5=102 \vee \mathrm{CA} \end{gathered}$ | 1CA number of panels 1 R rounding |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Volume of } 102 \text { panels }=102 \times 0,0125 \mathrm{C} \times 0,3 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \quad \checkmark \mathrm{SF} \\ & =0,3825 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \quad \checkmark \mathrm{CA} \end{aligned}$ | 1C convert to metre 1SF finding volume 1CA volume in $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{lll} \text { Cost of panels excluding } & \text { OR } & \begin{array}{l} \text { Price of wood including } \\ \text { VAT } \end{array} \\ \text { VAT } \\ =0,3825 \times \text { R5 000,00 } & =\text { R5 } 000 \text { per m } \\ =\text { R1 } 912,50 \checkmark \text { CA } & =\text { R5 } 700 \text { per m } \\ 3 \\ \text { VCA } \end{array}$ | 1CA cost excluding VAT |  |
|  | Cost of the panels <br> including VAT Cost of the panels including <br> $=1,14 \times$ R1 912,50 <br> $=$ R2 $180,25 \checkmark$ CA <br>  VAT <br>  $=$ R5 $700 \times 0,3825$ <br>  = R2 $180,25 \checkmark$ CA | 1CA cost incl. VAT |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} \text { Labour cost } & =29 \times \mathrm{R} 125,00 \\ & =\mathrm{R} 3625,00 \quad \checkmark \mathrm{CA} \end{aligned}$ | 1CA labour cost (CA area from 3.3.2) |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} \text { Total cost } & =\text { R2 180,25 }+ \text { R3 } 625,00 \\ & =\text { R } 5805,25 \quad \checkmark \mathrm{CA} \end{aligned}$ | 1CA total cost |  |
|  | Budget is ENOUGH $\checkmark$ O | 10 conclusion |  |
|  | OR | OR |  |


| Ques | Solution | Explanation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Surface area of wood }=29 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \checkmark \mathrm{CA} \\ & \begin{aligned} \checkmark \mathrm{M} \end{aligned} \\ & \begin{aligned} \text { Volume of wood } & =29 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \times 0,0125 \mathrm{~m} \quad \checkmark \mathrm{~A} \\ & =0,3625 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \checkmark \mathrm{CA} \end{aligned} \end{aligned}$ $\begin{aligned} \text { Total volume of wood } & =0,3625 \times 104,5 \% \quad \checkmark \mathrm{M} \\ & =0,3788125 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \checkmark \mathrm{CA} \\ & =0,38 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \checkmark \mathrm{CA} \end{aligned}$ $\begin{array}{lll} \text { Cost of panels excluding } & \text { OR } & \begin{array}{l} \text { Price of wood including } \\ \text { VAT } \end{array} \\ \text { VAT } \\ =0,38 \times \text { R5 000,00 } & =\text { R5 } 000 \text { per m} \times 114 \% \\ =\text { R1 } 900,00 \checkmark \text { CA } & =\text { R5 } 700 \text { per m }{ }^{3} \checkmark \text { CA } \end{array}$ <br> Cost of the panels including VAT $=1,14 \times$ R1 900,00 $=\mathrm{R} 2166,00 \quad \checkmark \mathrm{CA}$ <br> Labour cost $=29 \times$ R125,00 $=\mathrm{R} 3625,00 \quad \checkmark \mathrm{CA}$ $\begin{aligned} \text { Total cost } & =\text { R2 166,00+ R3 625,00 } \\ & =\text { R5 791,00 } \quad \checkmark \mathrm{CA} \end{aligned}$ <br> Budget is ENOUGH $\checkmark$ O | 1CA from 3.3.2 <br> 1 M calculating volume 1A correct thickness <br> 1CA simplification <br> 1M \% increase 1CA simplification 1CA rounding <br> 1CA cost excluding VAT <br> 1CA cost incl. VAT <br> 1CA labour cost (CA area from 3.3.2) <br> 1CA total cost <br> 10 conclusion <br> NP - rounding |  |
|  |  | [31] |  |


| QUESTION 4 [31 MARKS] |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ques | Solution | Explanation |  |
| 4.1.1 | - Course modules have different costs <br> OR <br> - Course levels makes a difference. $\checkmark \checkmark$ O | 2 O relevant reason <br> OR <br> 2 O relevant reason | L4 |
| 4.1.2 | Single rooms: <br> - Have more privacy and is more convenient; no disturbance. <br> OR <br> - Better facilities. $\quad \checkmark \checkmark \mathrm{O}$ $\text { OR } \quad \checkmark \checkmark \mathrm{O}$ <br> Double rooms: <br> - Are not private and not convenient. $\quad \checkmark \checkmark \mathrm{O}$ <br> OR <br> - Students share costs $\checkmark \checkmark \mathrm{O}$ | 2 O relevant reason | L4 |
| 4.1.3 | Total fees for first year $\begin{aligned} & =\text { Tuition fees }+ \text { hostel fees }+ \text { non-SA citizen fee } \\ & \checkmark \text { A } \\ & =\text { R28 } 470+\text { R18 } 928+\mathrm{R} 2000 \quad \checkmark \mathrm{M} \\ & =\mathrm{R} 49398 \quad \checkmark \mathrm{CA} \end{aligned}$ | 1 A all the values 1 M adding fees 1CA total <br> No penalty if deposit added | L2 |
| 4.1.4 | Minimum payment on registration: <br> Cost $=$ appl. fee $+30 \%$ of tuition + non-SA additional + accommodation dep. + monthly residence fee $$ | 1 A using correct amounts 1 M adding amounts 1S tuition fee 1S accommodation fee 1CA minimum payment <br> No penalty if deposit subtracted | L3 |


| Ques | Solution | Explanation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.2 | Afrikaans Home Language is excluded because it is the lowest: $\begin{aligned} & \text { LO APS }=\frac{92}{2} \checkmark \text { MA } \\ & =46 \% \text { rounded up to } 50 \% \\ & \quad \Rightarrow \text { LO will be allocated 4 APS } \checkmark \mathrm{A} \end{aligned}$ <br> Total APS based on final results: $\begin{aligned} & =6+5+4+6+7+7+7 \\ & =42 \quad \checkmark \mathrm{CA} \end{aligned}$ $\checkmark \mathrm{CA}$ <br> She qualifies for $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ bursary. | 1MA calculating \% of LO <br> 1 R rounding up <br> 1A LO APS <br> 1CA adding scores <br> 1CA total <br> 1CA identifying bursary \% | L3 |
| 4.3.1 | Distance from Okahandja to Johannesburg <br> $=$ Windhoek to Pretoria + Okahandja to Windhoek + Pretoria to <br> Johannesburg $+2 \times$ Gabarone $\begin{aligned} & \quad \checkmark \mathrm{MA} \\ & =(1386+68+58+2 \times 45) \mathrm{km} \\ & =1602 \mathrm{~km} \quad \checkmark \mathrm{CA} \end{aligned}$ $\begin{aligned} & \text { Driving time }=\frac{\text { Total distance }}{\text { Average speed }} \\ & =\frac{1602 \mathrm{~km}}{108 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}} \\ & =14,8333 \mathrm{hrs} \quad \mathrm{OR} \approx 14 \text { hours } 50 \text { minutes } \end{aligned}$ <br> Distance from Okahandja to Johannesburg $\begin{aligned} & =[68+1107+2(45)+279+58] \mathrm{km} \\ & =1602 \mathrm{~km} \checkmark \mathrm{CA} \\ & \text { Driving time }=\frac{\text { Total distance }}{\text { Average speed }} \\ & =\frac{1602 \mathrm{~km}}{108 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}} \end{aligned}$ $=14,8333 \mathrm{hrs} \quad \mathrm{OR} \approx 14 \text { hours } 50 \text { minutes }$ | 1MA adding extra kilometres 1MA return on Gabarone 1CA total distance <br> 1SF substitution <br> 1CA Total time <br> OR <br> 2MA for adding the distances to travel 1CA total distance <br> 1SFsubstitution <br> 1CA total time | L2 |


| Ques | Solution | Explanation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.3.2 | Strip charts are not drawn to scale. $\quad \checkmark \checkmark \mathrm{O}$ | 2 O for any valid explanation | L4 |
| 4.3.3 | Her estimation is NOT VALID. $\checkmark \mathrm{O}$ <br> OR <br> NAD $2160=2160 \times 0,998$ Rand $\checkmark \mathrm{M}$ $=\text { R2 155,68 } \checkmark \mathrm{CA}$ <br> Total cost in Pula $=680 \times 3+50+50+20=\mathrm{P} 2160 \checkmark \mathrm{CA}$ <br> Total cost in Rand $=2160 \times 1,2454$ $=2690,06 \checkmark \mathrm{CA}$ <br> Her estimation is NOT VALID. $\checkmark$ O | 1 A adding values 1CA total <br> 1 M converting P to R 1CA amount <br> 1CA amount <br> 10 conclusion <br> OR <br> 1 M converting NAD to Rand 1CA amount in Rand 1A adding values 1CA total 1CA cost amount 10 conclusion <br> NP - rounding | L4 |
|  |  | [31] |  |



| Ques | Solution | Explanation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.2.2 | North America'sdifference <br> $\approx 1010$ million tons -410 million tons <br> $=600$ million tons $/ \mathrm{CA}$ <br> Asia'sdifference $\approx 1080$ million tons -380 million tons $=700 \text { million tons } \quad \checkmark \mathrm{CA}$ <br> Asia has a higherdifference of crude oil than North Americal J <br> OR <br> Asia consumes much more crude oil than North America. | 1CA for calculating North American difference <br> [Accept values in range of $\pm 10$ million tons.] 1CA for calculating Asia's difference 1J comment <br> Penalise with one mark if millionsomitted | L2 |
| 5.2.3 | They both have vibrant economies, therefore these regions need <br> a lot more energy. <br> $\checkmark \checkmark$ O <br> OR <br> Both regions have more industries. $\checkmark \checkmark \mathrm{O}$ <br> OR <br> The regions have large populations. $\checkmark \checkmark$ O <br> OR <br> They use large volumes of oil because they have outdated $\checkmark \checkmark$ O technology. <br> OR <br> First world regions $\checkmark \checkmark$ O <br> Developed regions $\checkmark \checkmark$ O OR | 2 O reason | L4 |
| 5.3.1 | $\begin{aligned} \text { Distance in } \mathrm{km} & =33 \mathrm{~mm} \div 25 \stackrel{\vee \mathrm{~mm}}{\stackrel{\mathrm{M}}{\times}} \times 5000 \mathrm{~km} \\ & =6600 \mathrm{~km} \quad \checkmark \mathrm{CA} \end{aligned}$ $\begin{aligned} & \text { Distance in miles }=6600 \mathrm{~km} \div 1,609344 \\ &=4101,049869 \text { miles } \checkmark \mathrm{CA} \\ & \approx 4101,05 \mathrm{miles} \end{aligned}$ <br> Accept measured distance from 27 to 29 mm and bar scale from 22 to 24 mm | 1 M for using the line scale 1CA for calculating distance 1CA for distance in miles | L3 |


| Ques | Solution | Explanation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.3.2 |  |  | L2 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\checkmark \text { RD }}{=} 15 \text { million barrels } \times \frac{100 \%}{30 \%} \\ & \checkmark \mathrm{MA} \\ & =50 \text { million barrels per day } \end{aligned}$ <br> OR <br> $30 \% \sim 15$ million barrels <br> $30 \% \sim 15$ million barrels $\checkmark$ RD <br> $30 \% \sim 15$ million barrels $10 \% \sim \frac{15}{3} \text { million barrels }=5 \text { million barrels }$ <br> Therefore $100 \% \sim(15+15+15+5)$ million barrels $=50$ million barrels $\checkmark \mathrm{CA}$ | 1RD reading 15 million barrels 1MA dividing by $30 \%$ 1CA simplification <br> OR <br> 1RD reading 15 million barrels 1 M calculating $10 \%$ <br> 1CA simplification <br> No penalty if millions omitted |  |
| 5.3.3 | It is not the shortest route <br> OR <br> It will take longer to transport the oil <br> OR <br> It will cost more to transport the oil. $\checkmark \checkmark \mathrm{O}$ | 2 O relevant (time or distance related reason <br> 2 O relevant cost related reason | L4 |
|  |  | [24] |  |
|  |  | TOTAL:150 |  |

