

NATIONAL SENIOR CERTIFICATE EXAMINATION NOVEMBER 2014

LIFE SCIENCES: PAPER I

MARKING GUIDELINES

Time: 2¹/₂ hours

150 marks

These marking guidelines are prepared for use by examiners and sub-examiners, all of whom are required to attend a standardisation meeting to ensure that the guidelines are consistently interpreted and applied in the marking of candidates' scripts.

The IEB will not enter into any discussions or correspondence about any marking guidelines. It is acknowledged that there may be different views about some matters of emphasis or detail in the guidelines. It is also recognised that, without the benefit of attendance at a standardisation meeting, there may be different interpretations of the application of the marking guidelines.

1.1	С	Η	D		A	Ι	K	E	J	ſ	В			(9)
1.2	1.2.1 1.2.2 1.2.3 1.2.4 1.2.5 1.2.6	A D B C D C												
	1.2.7	А												(9)
1.3	1.3.1	tran	slatio	n										(1)
	1.3.2	А	Т	С	А	Т	G	3 – 5	(1 ma	rk)				(2)
	1.3.3	А	U	С										(1)
	1.3.4	pept	tide (bond)	cova	lent b	ond							(1)
	1.3.5									k				
											— 1100s0	me		(2)
	1.3.6	ami	no ac	id 1 =	= gluta	amic a	acid							(1)

amino acid 2 = proline

- 1.4 1.4.1 they are different/not the same/different (male reproductive organ) structures/(species 1 to 6 have) different shapes/morphological difference/ won't fit with female/male and female won't fit/pre-zygotic isolation/ morphological difference
 - 1.4.2 can only mate/produce offspring/reproduce penis/reproductive organs only fits/matches different genitalia, fit/matches different female structures different shaped reproductive organs with female of same species different shaped penis/prevents locking AND with female of same species not with female of other species within species/it is species specific/between 6 different types/groups
 - 1.4.3 damselflies not separated by geographical barriers physical barriers live in same area AND separated by their physical features/different genitalia/body structure different; cannot physically reproduce/interbreed (offspring with other species); (speciation owing to) reproductive isolation/prezygotic isolation/ mechanical isolation

(2)

(1)

(1)

(2)



2 strategies, one briefly explained/a well explained strategy/any other suitable strategy/facts (3)

[40]

QUESTION 2

2.1	2.1.1	male/boy presence of Y chromosome/XY/does'nt have two XX				
	2.1.2	one of the pair of chromosomes number 5 is shorter/shorter arm on one chromosome 5/one long one short chromosome 5/vary in length	(2)			
	2.1.3	cat like cry respiratory problems small head round face small chin widely set eyes folds of skin over eyes heart defects poor muscle tone difficulty walking difficulty talking severe mental retardation /hearing probems/sight problems/ poorly developed larynx any three	(3)			

No: religious objections deletion occurs in gonads during formation of gametes not all gametes/sperm/ova will have deletion cannot predict if a child will have disorder rather have IVF/amniocentesis/chorionic preimplantation diagnosis when pregnant deal with disorder if present prepare for care of child ethically wrong to abort if child has disorder

- 2.1.5 genes/nitrogen bases/nucleotides/section of DNA are missing/lost/sequence of nitrogen bases is changed no DNA code/genotype for a protein trait/ characteristic/phenotype would be different, incorrect protein /amino acid sequence
- 2.2 e.g. Sickle cell anaemia - mutation causes abnormal production of haemoglobin red blood cells loose shape/become spiky/sickle shaped malaria parasites cannot get inside sickle cells heterozygotes have advantage only some cells clump/distort some normal cells so not lethal

e.g. HIV - no marker lack of adhesion protein cannot gain entry to white blood cells resistant to HIV

e.g. Describe an example of benefits of evolutionary adaptation, e.g. finches, tortoises, olive baboon, giraffes, bipedalism, TB resistance, polyploidy in wheat/ strawberries

Name and 2 good points which describes the benefit of the mutation

- 2.3 Snowball = number 2 same/ similiar width/type/size bands/matching bands 2.3.1
 - 2.3.2 to exclude cats that were in Beamish's neighbourhood as cat hairs easily stick to clothing could have come from another cat/make sure it was Snowball's fur to link Beamish to being with Duguay/more samples to make investigation valid

(2)

(4)

(2)

(2)

(3)

2.3.3	(a) (b)	chromosomes/DNA/non-coding (junk) DNA semen; blood; skin, sperm, saliva, epithelium (any other suitable answer)					
2.4	2.4.1	YES: for pe breedi have g cats le human crime OR	: DNA profiles can be used to trace missing cats/hereditary disorders bet owners/provide forensic evidence for crimes against pet owners/ ding programs for special types of cats/prevent inbreeding so cats don't genetic disorders/a lot of people have cats so a worthwhile exercise/ leave a lot of hair that sticks so easy to trace owner/way of linking an to crime without infringing on their human rights/SA has a high e rate so worthwhile to collect evidence				
		NO: S for hu use D more evider	SA does not even have a DNA database/establish DNA profiles first mans/SA police not sophisticated enough to collect DNA evidence/ NA database/ SA could use money required for DNA database on pressing social/economic problems/a lot of stray cats so not reliable nce/cats have a short life span so would need to update regularly				
		Ana ai	ny other suitable answers	(4)			
	2.4.2 (a) obtained from mitochondria of cells passed down from moth offspring small sections of DNA found inside mitochondria		obtained from mitochondria of cells passed down from mother to her offspring small sections of DNA found inside mitochondria	(2)			
		(b)	high mutation rate more individuality/unique large amounts of genes does not undergo recombination maternal lineage	(2) [30]			
QUES	STION	3					
3.1	3.1.1	4 cell photo botton	s formed/at the end/one cell produced 4/two series of divisions/in G and H chromosomes are in 4 groups/4 groups of chromosomes in n row/Anaphase is taking place twice/equator is at 90°	(1)			
		(any 0	iner sultable answer)	(1)			
	3.1.2	(a)	A = parent cell/diploid cell/somatic cell/body cell/mother cell/ germinal cell	(1)			
		(b)	I = gametes/haploid cells/daughter cells/tetrad/egg/sperm cells/spore	(1)			

- 3.1.3 (a) A (1)
 - (b) C (1)
- 3.1.4 crossing over/chiasmata form swop genes/sections of DNA/chromatids/ chromosomes/paternal and maternal chromatids/bivalents/homologous chromosomes gametes different to parent's genes/ genotype random assortment/independent assortment chromosomes line up independently/ randomly on equator when separate and move to poles unequal amounts of original maternal and paternal chromatids/ homologous chromosomes/ genes/characteristics/different combination of maternal and paternal chromosomes/genes/characteristics mutations.

Importance: changes in genotype offspring has greater opportunity to be adapted in changing environment evolution relies on small changes in characteristicsnatural selection relies on variation *variation* 4/5 + importance 2/1

- (b) characteristics/features/outward appearance/phenotype/expression of genes/physical appearance/physical attributes
- 3.2.2 resists mastitis placid avoids injury walks and stands comfortably few metabolic disorders shows when on heat conceives easily produces live calf without assistance maintains body condition on inexpensive feed first *any two*
- 3.2.3 Table of differences between selective breeding and natural selection

SELECTIVE BREEDING/ ARTIFICIAL SELECTION	NATURAL SELECTION		
1. Characteristics selected by farmers	1. Environmental pressures/changes in environment favour certain characteristics		
2. Much quicker process – few years	2. Slower process – 100s to 1 000s of years		
3. Farmers maintain desired genotype	3. Survival of fittest causes certain genotype to persist		
4. Requires human intervention	4. Occurs naturally		
5. Purposeful selection	5. Random selection		
6. Predictable/known outcome (not for outbreeding)	6. Outcome unpredictable / unknown		
7. Less variation (not for outbreeding	7. More variation		
8. Assistance needed	8. No assistance needed/environment dictates		
9. Not necessarily for survival	9. For survival		
10. Not necessarily fittest surviving/used for breeding	10. Only fittest survives/breeds		

Column headings + 2 matching points *Other suitable answers*

74 - 42 = 32Graph was altered in printing so these figures are incorrect

(74 - 78) - (36 - 38) = answer dependent on figures used in calculation If figures are not in the above ranges but fall in the range of 70 - 80 and 30 - 40 and the calculation is correct the answer is credited with 1 mark

(b) 50 years Graph was altered in printing so thise figure is incorrect 47 - 50 years

(1)

(2)

a

(5)

(3)

(1)

- 3.2.5 demand for more dairy to feed increasing population/greater milk yield better quality milk higher profits for farmers/increased productivity fewer cows are needed
- 3.2.6 lower gene pool/reduced genotype increased incidence of malformations/ mutations (not causes more mutations) increased susceptibility to disease sterility dysfunctionality increase in homozygosity decrease in hybrid viour increase in vet bills expensive to breed reliant on human care increased infant mortality decreased life expectancy decreased size of offspring long prcess unpredictable results ethical issues eg killing unfavourable offsping (accept examples of specific features; or 2 well explained reasons)

QUESTION 4

4.1	4.1.1	1 = Male/father/male symbol Bb and $2 = female/mother/female$ symbol bb						
		If numbers are not indicated, but answers in correct order e.g. male female	(4)					

- 4.1.2 (a) 5 brown : 2 white / 2.5 : 1 / 71,4% : 28,6% (2)
 - (b) 1 white : 3 brown offspring/white coated offspring produced; other parent has two white genes number 4 must have contributed a white recessive gene too. If 4 was homozygous then all offspring would be brown for offspring to be white, must have received a white fur gene from each parent. If use a punnet square, Bb bb (parents) and indicated which offspring was white or gave a verbal explanation

4.1.3 egg cells/ova all with b/(white fur colour)/recessive allele

- 4.1.4 (a) UV radiation/chemicals/herbicides/pesticides/viruses/pollution/ Mutagens/gamma rays/X-rays/smoke pollution/formaldehyde/ benzene/DDT/radiation/carcinigens
 - (b) more visible in wild not well camouflaged caught by predators more easily more susceptible to light damage/exposure to sun causing cancers
- 4.1.5 (a) More desirable/cuteness/novelty value/sought after/by pet owners/ rare/greater profit/children like them/cleaner/represents purity
 - (b) recessive gene could become more prevalent/common/variety in gene pool reduced/increase homozygocity/increase in recessive disorders/closely related rabbits bred/inbreeding/reduced hybrid vigour
- 4.2 4.2.1 geographical isolation important in speciation/evolution islands have different vegetation animals adapt differently/show different adaptations eg. different finches/tortoises animals with mutation for favourable trait reproduce more natural selection these animals increase in numbers mention differences e.g. beaks adaptive radiation develop from a single ancestral groupmodification by descent can mention differences in habitat between islands. Must give an observation of Darwin. If only natural section etc. discussed, max of 3 marks.

(2)

(4) [**30**]

(3)

(2)

(1)

(2)

(1)

(2)

4.2.2 (a) **Yes**: observe natural phenomena/plants and animals studied by Darwin greater development more sustainable local economy more jobs greater understanding of importance of islands development of wildlife services global awareness of environmental issues tourism major contribution to economy

No: pollution, e.g. rubbish threat from introduced pests, e.g. pigs/dogs killing indigenous species/disturbance of plants and animals too fragile habitat/ecologically sensitive area need to preserve environment for students of evolution restrictions on fishing for locals mass immigration of people looking for jobs. Any 4 facts. May be a combination of positive and negative aspects. If the facts are expanded on in greater detail, marks are awarded for these.



Other facts that are accepted:

No plant or animal life, birds bring in seeds, wind brings in seeds, many grasses and ferns, organisms arrive through long distance dispersal To get mark for arrow, facts must be in logical order as well (4)

QUESTION 5

Is there benefit in scientists working together to find out more about the evolution of modern humans (Homo Sapiens)?

EXPANDED MEMO Q5

source	BENEFITS through collaboration	NO BENEFIT in collaboration
А	• Berger collaboration –	• Have to share noble prize with others/loose
	• Open access to palaeontologists – improved	recognition
	quality of results	• Can be unreliable
	• Good finds are rare – can collect more	• Methods must be scientific – no cheating
	evidence	will get through.
	• Berger sharing A. sediba findings – good	 No collaboration required as Science
	example to scientific world	already has a good process to ensure
	• Require lots of evidence from different	accuracy, e.g. peer review etc.
	times and place	• Findings in Europe – scientists not obliged
	• Berger's approach inspired others to share	to inform each other
В	• Different disciplines can bring different	• Not required as most scientists are honest
	ideas to the findings – more reliable results	 Scientists may not all get recognition
	 – can lead to greater discoveries, e.g. Nobel 	• Much work still needs to be done – plenty
	prizes/ success	of opportunities for all
	• OR: Scientists with different skills and from	• Scientist divided on new findings – could
	totally different institutes can complement	hamper future progress on Hominids if
	each other's skills	they do not pursue own research
	• Yamanaka and Gurdon –lead to important	• What is intellectual property – great
	discoveries	findings belong to scientists who discover
C	• More testimonies gathered from different	them
	people – more accurate results	Allow prestige/money for country/could
D	• Shows that it is better to get other people's	increase tourism and revenue for specific
	ideas that may refute the person who	country
	discovered the fossils – more accurate	• Fossils from the past/future research should
	results	tocus on innovative scientific research –
-	• Much unknown about human family tee	e.g. stem cells
E	• Expose cheats/more difficult to cheat	• Human origins are not as important as, e.g.
	• Peer review – validates results	a cure for cancer – no fush to get accurate
	• Whistle blowing – reports foul play	 Political intervention prevents collaboration
	• Increased objectivity of method used to	- must pursue scientific opportunities for a
	gain results	- must pursue scientific opportunities for a country
	• Replication by others validates findings	 Creates jobs for countries economy
	• Testability – can use other scientists to test	 Need to share success and financial gain
	theory – validity increases	 Concerns around authorship of results –
	• Science has important rules/code of conduct	 financial implications
	that all must adhere to – ensure this happens	 Loose recognition of info
	• Regulates findings	 Ownership of find/data/fame/ego
	• Makes family free info more certain as all	 Concerns around intellectual property
	data is scrutinised by all scientists working	 Uiddon agondaskaws groups results/
F	Compare alternate theories and new ideas	ignores valid data
1,	• Compare alternate theories and new ideas	inores fund dutu
	world	
	 Eossil finds rare – need to share findings 	
	 Without collaboration – fossil finds can be 	
	contradictory	
	 Ideas can be challenged/argued and ironed 	
	out	

G • Compare evidence from around the world to help validate ideas • All scientist have the same goal – • Decreases time of theories being put forward • No collaboration leads to inaccuracies H • Collaboration results in relevant studies	
 Own Some scientist believe that <i>A.sediba</i> is more homo than Australopithecus Evaluation of how different skills can holp 	 Watson, creek vs Franklin – work stolen Takes longer to publish results Londa to conflict in a group
 Explanation of now different skins can help scientists. Balating relevance of Human ganome 	 Leads to conflicts in a group Piltdown example – secrecy
 Relating relevance of Fulman genome project to discovery of evolution of modern human' 	 Mendel – did not share - was successful Japanese scientist – fudged results
Watson and Creek – DNA model	Chinese – fake cloning
Climate change research has been	 If one person cheats whole group discredited
collaborated	Security of evidence is concern
• Can lead to increased funding for research	• Too much pressure from group – leads to
Can provide more jobs Religious viewpoint	fabrication
 Science is fluid and changes 	• (Need to be open to all ideas)
• (Need to be open to all ideas)	
COLLABORATION IS IMPORTANT	COLLABORATION NOT REQUIRED
Much unknown shout human family trac	Findings in Europa scientists not
 Much unknown about human family tree Should pool information for greater understanding 	Findings in Europe – scientists not obliged to inform each other
 Much unknown about human family tree Should pool information for greater understanding Science has important rules/code of conduct that 	 Findings in Europe – scientists not obliged to inform each other Scientists might not get recognition
 Much unknown about human family tree Should pool information for greater understanding Science has important rules/code of conduct that all must adhere to 	 Findings in Europe – scientists not obliged to inform each other Scientists might not get recognition Much work still to be done, plenty of
 Much unknown about human family tree Should pool information for greater understanding Science has important rules/code of conduct that all must adhere to Will regulate findings: 	 Findings in Europe – scientists not obliged to inform each other Scientists might not get recognition Much work still to be done, plenty of opportunities for all Scientists divided on new findings
 Much unknown about human family tree Should pool information for greater understanding Science has important rules/code of conduct that all must adhere to Will regulate findings: 1. Peer review 	 Findings in Europe – scientists not obliged to inform each other Scientists might not get recognition Much work still to be done, plenty of opportunities for all Scientists divided on new findings – could hamper future progress on
 Much unknown about human family tree Should pool information for greater understanding Science has important rules/code of conduct that all must adhere to Will regulate findings: 1. Peer review 2. Regulation by authorities 	 Findings in Europe – scientists not obliged to inform each other Scientists might not get recognition Much work still to be done, plenty of opportunities for all Scientists divided on new findings – could hamper future progress on Hominids if they do not pursue own
 Much unknown about human family tree Should pool information for greater understanding Science has important rules/code of conduct that all must adhere to Will regulate findings: 1. Peer review 2. Regulation by authorities 3. Objectivity 	 Findings in Europe – scientists not obliged to inform each other Scientists might not get recognition Much work still to be done, plenty of opportunities for all Scientists divided on new findings – could hamper future progress on Hominids if they do not pursue own research
 Much unknown about human family tree Should pool information for greater understanding Science has important rules/code of conduct that all must adhere to Will regulate findings: 1. Peer review 2. Regulation by authorities 3. Objectivity 4. Replication 5. Testability 	 Findings in Europe – scientists not obliged to inform each other Scientists might not get recognition Much work still to be done, plenty of opportunities for all Scientists divided on new findings – could hamper future progress on Hominids if they do not pursue own research What is intellectual property – great findings belong to scientists who
 Much unknown about human family tree Should pool information for greater understanding Science has important rules/code of conduct that all must adhere to Will regulate findings: 1. Peer review 2. Regulation by authorities 3. Objectivity 4. Replication 5. Testability 	 Findings in Europe – scientists not obliged to inform each other Scientists might not get recognition Much work still to be done, plenty of opportunities for all Scientists divided on new findings – could hamper future progress on Hominids if they do not pursue own research What is intellectual property – great findings belong to scientists who discover them
 Much unknown about human family tree Should pool information for greater understanding Science has important rules/code of conduct that all must adhere to Will regulate findings: Peer review Regulation by authorities Objectivity Replication Testability 	 Findings in Europe – scientists not obliged to inform each other Scientists might not get recognition Much work still to be done, plenty of opportunities for all Scientists divided on new findings – could hamper future progress on Hominids if they do not pursue own research What is intellectual property – great findings belong to scientists who discover them Allows prestige, money for country
 Much unknown about human family tree Should pool information for greater understanding Science has important rules/code of conduct that all must adhere to Will regulate findings: Peer review Regulation by authorities Objectivity Replication Testability This will make 'family tree' more certain as all data is scrutinised by all scientists working on hominids 	 Findings in Europe – scientists not obliged to inform each other Scientists might not get recognition Much work still to be done, plenty of opportunities for all Scientists divided on new findings – could hamper future progress on Hominids if they do not pursue own research What is intellectual property – great findings belong to scientists who discover them Allows prestige, money for country where scientist residing – could increase tourism – revenue
 Much unknown about human family tree Should pool information for greater understanding Science has important rules/code of conduct that all must adhere to Will regulate findings: Peer review Regulation by authorities Objectivity Replication Testability This will make 'family tree' more certain as all data is scrutinised by all scientists working on hominids Prof Berger sharing <i>A. sediba</i> findings; good 	 Findings in Europe – scientists not obliged to inform each other Scientists might not get recognition Much work still to be done, plenty of opportunities for all Scientists divided on new findings – could hamper future progress on Hominids if they do not pursue own research What is intellectual property – great findings belong to scientists who discover them Allows prestige, money for country where scientist residing – could increase tourism – revenue Fossils are from the past – future
 Much unknown about human family tree Should pool information for greater understanding Science has important rules/code of conduct that all must adhere to Will regulate findings: 1. Peer review 2. Regulation by authorities 3. Objectivity 4. Replication 5. Testability This will make 'family tree' more certain as all data is scrutinised by all scientists working on hominids Prof Berger sharing <i>A. sediba</i> findings; good example to scientific world 	 Findings in Europe – scientists not obliged to inform each other Scientists might not get recognition Much work still to be done, plenty of opportunities for all Scientists divided on new findings – could hamper future progress on Hominids if they do not pursue own research What is intellectual property – great findings belong to scientists who discover them Allows prestige, money for country where scientist residing – could increase tourism – revenue Fossils are from the past – future research should focus on innovative
 Much unknown about human family tree Should pool information for greater understanding Science has important rules/code of conduct that all must adhere to Will regulate findings: 1. Peer review 2. Regulation by authorities 3. Objectivity 4. Replication 5. Testability This will make 'family tree' more certain as all data is scrutinised by all scientists working on hominids Prof Berger sharing <i>A. sediba</i> findings; good example to scientific world Yamanaka and Gurdon won Nobel prize for jointly discovering mature stam calls can be 	 Findings in Europe – scientists not obliged to inform each other Scientists might not get recognition Much work still to be done, plenty of opportunities for all Scientists divided on new findings – could hamper future progress on Hominids if they do not pursue own research What is intellectual property – great findings belong to scientists who discover them Allows prestige, money for country where scientist residing – could increase tourism – revenue Fossils are from the past – future research should focus on innovative scientific research, e.g. stem cells Human origins are not as important as
 Much unknown about human family tree Should pool information for greater understanding Science has important rules/code of conduct that all must adhere to Will regulate findings: Peer review Regulation by authorities Objectivity Replication Testability This will make 'family tree' more certain as all data is scrutinised by all scientists working on hominids Prof Berger sharing <i>A. sediba</i> findings; good example to scientific world Yamanaka and Gurdon won Nobel prize for jointly discovering mature stem cells can be programmed – led to important research for 	 Findings in Europe – scientists not obliged to inform each other Scientists might not get recognition Much work still to be done, plenty of opportunities for all Scientists divided on new findings – could hamper future progress on Hominids if they do not pursue own research What is intellectual property – great findings belong to scientists who discover them Allows prestige, money for country where scientist residing – could increase tourism – revenue Fossils are from the past – future research should focus on innovative scientific research, e.g. stem cells Human origins are not as important as, e.g cure for cancer
 Much unknown about human family tree Should pool information for greater understanding Science has important rules/code of conduct that all must adhere to Will regulate findings: Peer review Regulation by authorities Objectivity Replication Testability This will make 'family tree' more certain as all data is scrutinised by all scientists working on hominids Prof Berger sharing <i>A. sediba</i> findings; good example to scientific world Yamanaka and Gurdon won Nobel prize for jointly discovering mature stem cells can be programmed – led to important research for therapeutic uses in humans – only owing to 	 Findings in Europe – scientists not obliged to inform each other Scientists might not get recognition Much work still to be done, plenty of opportunities for all Scientists divided on new findings – could hamper future progress on Hominids if they do not pursue own research What is intellectual property – great findings belong to scientists who discover them Allows prestige, money for country where scientist residing – could increase tourism – revenue Fossils are from the past – future research should focus on innovative scientific research, e.g. stem cells Human origins are not as important as, e.g cure for cancer Political intervention would prevent
 Much unknown about human family tree Should pool information for greater understanding Science has important rules/code of conduct that all must adhere to Will regulate findings: Peer review Regulation by authorities Objectivity Replication Testability This will make 'family tree' more certain as all data is scrutinised by all scientists working on hominids Prof Berger sharing <i>A. sediba</i> findings; good example to scientific world Yamanaka and Gurdon won Nobel prize for jointly discovering mature stem cells can be programmed – led to important research for therapeutic uses in humans – only owing to collaboration 	 Findings in Europe – scientists not obliged to inform each other Scientists might not get recognition Much work still to be done, plenty of opportunities for all Scientists divided on new findings – could hamper future progress on Hominids if they do not pursue own research What is intellectual property – great findings belong to scientists who discover them Allows prestige, money for country where scientist residing – could increase tourism – revenue Fossils are from the past – future research should focus on innovative scientific research, e.g. stem cells Human origins are not as important as, e.g cure for cancer Political intervention would prevent collaboration – must pursue scientific
 Much unknown about human family tree Should pool information for greater understanding Science has important rules/code of conduct that all must adhere to Will regulate findings: Peer review Regulation by authorities Objectivity Replication Testability This will make 'family tree' more certain as all data is scrutinised by all scientists working on hominids Prof Berger sharing <i>A. sediba</i> findings; good example to scientific world Yamanaka and Gurdon won Nobel prize for jointly discovering mature stem cells can be programmed – led to important research for therapeutic uses in humans – only owing to collaboration Fossils so rare, important to share any findings 	 Findings in Europe – scientists not obliged to inform each other Scientists might not get recognition Much work still to be done, plenty of opportunities for all Scientists divided on new findings – could hamper future progress on Hominids if they do not pursue own research What is intellectual property – great findings belong to scientists who discover them Allows prestige, money for country where scientist residing – could increase tourism – revenue Fossils are from the past – future research should focus on innovative scientific research, e.g. stem cells Human origins are not as important as, e.g cure for cancer Political intervention would prevent collaboration – must pursue scientific opportunities for country

Memo will be expanded with input from marking panel.

• many extra facts to use from own knowledge re: SAGS e.g. Franklin; Watson and Crick – structure of DNA.

	1 mark	2 marks	3 marks	4 marks
Content: Thoroughness	• Up to 1/3 of potential detail in sources cited (e.g. 1 to 4 facts)	• About half of potential detail in sources cited (e.g. 4 to 8 facts from sources)	 All main topics in sources covered About ¾ of potential detail in sources cited (e.g. 9 to 12 facts = 11 + 1 original fact*) One instance of significant information beyond the sources 	 All main topics covered Source detail very close to full potential At least (x) significant instances of information beyond the sources (e.g. 13 – 16 facts; 2 must be original & beyond the sources) = 11/14 + 2
Content: Relevance	• Mostly digression and/or repetition	• Around half is digression and/or repetition	 Repetition mostly avoided Some minor digression Argument relevant 	 Isolated incidences of minor repetition No digression Argument relevant
Supporting Argument, i.e. <u>for</u>	Writing consists of facts with little linkage or reasoningReasoning incorrect	 <u>Maximum if no clear</u> <u>decision to support</u> Reasoning correct, but hard to follow Ordinary; some linkage is evident 	 Supports the position Reasoning is clear Minor errors in flow Solid but not compelling; linkage sometimes missed 	 Strongly supports a clear position Reasoning is very clear and succinct Flow is logical, showing evidence of clear planning Compelling with regular use of linking language
Fairness i.e. Argument <u>against</u>	• One counter opinion given	• Two counter opinions given	• Three or more counter opinions given	
Position	• <u>Clear decision made</u>			
Presentation	 Writing is almost unintelligible Tone, language and terminology unscientific and exceptionally weak Introduction and/or conclusion not present 	 Tone, language and terminology is weak Attempts at correct paragraphing Introduction and conclusion present, no matter how weak 	 Tone is consistent and suited to scientific argument Good and appropriate language and terminology Mostly appropriate paragraphing Introduction and conclusion have merit. 	 Tone mature and suited to scientific argument Excellent and appropriate use of language and terminology Correct paragraphing with good transitions Interesting introduction, satisfying conclusion

The above design grid will be used to assess the essay. The essay marking guidelines will be expanded in consultation with the marking panel at the standardisation meeting.