

NATIONAL SENIOR CERTIFICATE EXAMINATION NOVEMBER 2016

## PORTUGUESE FIRST ADDITIONAL LANGUAGE: PAPER III MARKING GUIDELINES

Time: 21/2 hours

100 marks

These marking guidelines are prepared for use by examiners and sub-examiners, all of whom are required to attend a standardisation meeting to ensure that the guidelines are consistently interpreted and applied in the marking of candidates' scripts.

The IEB will not enter into any discussions or correspondence about any marking guidelines. It is acknowledged that there may be different views about some matters of emphasis or detail in the guidelines. It is also recognised that, without the benefit of attendance at a standardisation meeting, there may be different interpretations of the application of the marking guidelines.

		OUTSTANDING	MERITORIOUS	SUBSTANTIAL	ADEQUATE	MODERATE	ELEMENTARY	NOT ACHIEVED
FIRST ADDITIONAL LANGUAGE NSC SECTION A: ESSAY 50 MARKS	LANGUAGE	<ul> <li>Language, punctuation effectively used. Uses figurative language appropriately.</li> <li>Choice of own words highly appropriate.</li> <li>Sentences, paragraphs coherently constructed.</li> <li>Style, tone, register highly suited to topic.</li> <li>Text virtually error- free following proofreading, editing.</li> <li>Length in accordance with requirements of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Language, punctuation correct and able to include figurative language correctly.</li> <li>Choice of words varied and correctly used.</li> <li>Sentences, paragraphs logical, varied.</li> <li>Style, tone, register appropriately suited to topic.</li> <li>Text largely error-free</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Language and punctuation mostly correct.</li> <li>Choice of words suited to text.</li> <li>Sentences, paragraphs well-constructed.</li> <li>Style, tone, register suited to topic in most of the essay.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Language simplistic, punctuation adequate.</li> <li>Choice of words adequate.</li> <li>Sentences, paragraphing might be faulty in places but essay still makes sense.</li> <li>Style, tone, register generally consistent with topic</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Language ordinary and punctuation often inaccurately used.</li> <li>Choice of words basic.</li> <li>Sentences, paragraphs,</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Language and punctuation seriously flawed.</li> <li>Choice of words inappropriate.</li> <li>Sentences, paragraphs muddled, inconsistent.</li> <li>Style, tone, register flawed in all aspects.</li> <li>Text error-ridden and confused following proofreading, editing.</li> <li>Length – far too long/ short.</li> </ul>
CONTENT		Code 7:	Code 6:	Code 5:	• Length correct. Code 4:	Code 3:	Code 2:	Code 1:
<ul> <li>OUTSTANDING</li> <li>Content shows impressive insight into topic.</li> <li>Ideas: thought provoking, mature.</li> <li>Coherent development of topic. Vivid detail.</li> <li>Critical awareness of impact of language.</li> <li>Evidence of planning and/or drafting has produced virtually flawless, presentable essay.</li> </ul>	Code 7: 80 – 100%	40 - 50	70 - 79% 38 - 42	<u>60 - 69%</u> 35 - 39	50 - 59%	40 – 49%	30 - 39%	00 – 29%

# This paper will be marked according to the attached grids.

IEB Copyright © 2016

### NATIONAL SENIOR CERTIFICATE: PORTUGUESE FIRST ADDITIONAL LANGUAGE: PAPER III – MARKING GUIDELINES

<ul> <li>Logical development of details. Coherent.</li> <li>Critical awareness of impact of language.</li> <li>Evidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a well- crafted, presentable essay.</li> </ul>	Code 6: 70 – 80%	37 _ 12	35 - 39	33 - 37	30 - 34			
and/or drafting has produced a presentable and very good essay.	Code 5: 60 – 69%	35 - 39	33 – 37	30 - 34	28 - 32	25 – 29		
<ul> <li>ADEQUATE</li> <li>Content: an adequate interpretation of topic.</li> <li>Ideas: ordinary, lacking depth.</li> <li>Some points, necessary details developed.</li> <li>Some awareness of impact of language.</li> <li>Evidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a satisfactorily presented essay.</li> </ul>	Code 4: 50 – 59%		30 - 34	28 – 32	25 – 29	23 – 27	20 – 24	

### NATIONAL SENIOR CERTIFICATE: PORTUGUESE FIRST ADDITIONAL LANGUAGE: PAPER III – MARKING GUIDELINES

<ul> <li>MODERATE</li> <li>Content: ordinary. Gaps in coherence.</li> <li>Ideas: mostly relevant. Repetitive.</li> <li>Some necessary points evident.</li> <li>Limited critical language awareness.</li> <li>Evidence of planning and/or drafting that has produced a moderately presentable and coherent essay.</li> </ul>	Code 3: 40 – 49%		25 – 29	23 - 27	20 – 24	18 – 22	15 – 19
<ul> <li>ELEMENTARY</li> <li>Content: not always clear, lacks coherence.</li> <li>Ideas: few ideas, often repetitive.</li> <li>Sometimes off topic. General line of thought difficult to follow.</li> <li>Inadequate evidence of planning/drafting. Essay not well presented.</li> </ul>	Code 2: 30 – 39%			20 - 24	18 – 22	15 – 19	03 – 17
<ul> <li>NOT ACHIEVED</li> <li>Content: irrelevant. No coherence.</li> <li>Ideas: repetitive, off topic.</li> <li>Non-existent planning/drafting. Poorly presented essay.</li> </ul>	Code 1: 29%				15 – 19	03 – 17	00 – 14

		OUTSTANDING	MERITORIOUS	SUBSTANTIAL	ADEQUATE	MODERATE	ELEMENTARY	NOT ACHIEVED
FIRST ADDITIONAL LANGUAGE RUBRIC NSC SECTION B: LONGER TRANSACTIONAL TEXTS 30 MARKS SECTION C: SHORTER TRANSACTIONAL TEXTS REFERENCE/ INFORMATION/ VISUAL AND MULTI- MEDIA TEXTS 10 × 2 = MARKS	LANGUAGE	<ul> <li>Has applied all the necessary rules of format.</li> <li>Text is grammatically accurate and well-constructed.</li> <li>Vocabulary is very appropriate to purpose, audience and context.</li> <li>Style, tone, register very appropriate.</li> <li>Text virtually errorfree following proofreading, editing.</li> <li>Length correct.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Has applied the necessary rules of format.</li> <li>Text is well-constructed and accurate.</li> <li>Vocabulary is mostly appropriate to purpose, audience and context.</li> <li>Style, tone and register mostly appropriate.</li> <li>Text largely error-free following proofreading, editing.</li> <li>Length correct.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Has applied most of the necessary rules of format.</li> <li>Text is well- constructed and easy to read.</li> <li>Vocabulary is appropriate to purpose, audience and context.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Has applied an adequate idea of the requirements of format.</li> <li>Text is adequately constructed. Errors do not impede flow.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Has a moderate idea of the requirements of format – some critical oversights.</li> <li>Text is basically constructed. Several errors.</li> <li>Vocabulary requires remediation and not suitable for purpose, audience and context.</li> <li>Lapses in style, tone</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Has vaguely applied the necessary rules of format.</li> <li>Text is poorly constructed and difficult to follow.</li> <li>Vocabulary requires remediation and not suitable for purpose, audience and context.</li> <li>Style, tone and register inappropriate.</li> <li>Text error-ridden despite proofreading, editing.</li> <li>Length – too long/</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Has not applied the necessary rules of format.</li> <li>Text is poorly constructed and muddled.</li> <li>Vocabulary requires serious remediation and not suitable for purpose.</li> </ul>
CONTENT		Code 7: 80 – 100%	Code 6: 70 – 79%	Code 5: 60 – 69%	Code 4: 50 – 59%	Code 3: 40 – 49%	Code 2: 30 – 39%	Code 1: 00 – 29%
<ul> <li>digressions.</li> <li>Text fully coherent in content and ideas, and</li> </ul>	Code 7: 80 – 100%	08 - 10 24 - 30	08	07 - 08 21 - 23				

<ul> <li>MERITORIOUS</li> <li>Good knowledge of requirements of the text.</li> <li>Disciplined writing – learner maintains focus, hardly any digressions.</li> <li>Text is coherent in content and ideas, with all details supporting the topic.</li> <li>Evidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a well-crafted, presentable essay.</li> </ul>	Code 6: 70 – 80%	8 23 - 25	07 20 – 22	06 – 07 18 – 20		
<ul> <li>SUBSTANTIAL</li> <li>Fair knowledge of requirements of the text.</li> <li>Writing – learner maintains focus with minor digressions.</li> <li>Text is coherent in content and ideas, and details support the topic.</li> <li>Evidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a presentable and very good essay.</li> </ul>	Code 5: 60 – 69%	7 - 08 21 - 23	06 – 07 18 – 20	06 17 – 19	05 – 06 15 – 17	

<ul> <li>ADEQUATE</li> <li>Adequate knowledge of requirements of the text.</li> </ul>			16 - 07	06	05 – 06	05	04 – 05	
• Writing – learner digresses from topic but does not impede overall meaning.	- 59%							
• Text adequately coherent in content and ideas and some details support the	Code 4: 50 -		18 - 20	17 – 19	15 – 17	14 - 16	12 – 14	
<ul> <li>topic.</li> <li>Evidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a satisfactorily presented</li> </ul>								
essay. MODERATE		/	/	/	/	/	/	
<ul> <li>MODERATE</li> <li>Moderate knowledge of requirements of the text. Response to writing task reveals a narrow focus.</li> </ul>				05 – 06	05	04 – 05	04	03 - 04
• Writing – learner digresses, meaning is	10 - 49%							
<ul> <li>vague in places.</li> <li>Text moderately coherent in content and ideas and has basic details which support</li> </ul>	Code 3: 4			14 – 17	18 – 16	12 - 14	11 - 13	09 – 11
<ul> <li>the topic.</li> <li>Evidence of planning and/or drafting that has produced a moderately presentable and</li> </ul>								
coherent essay.		/	$\bigvee$				/	

#### NATIONAL SENIOR CERTIFICATE: PORTUGUESE FIRST ADDITIONAL LANGUAGE: PAPER III – MARKING GUIDELINES

